Deanne Coppejans

On behalf of R. Margutti, B. Metzger, R. Chornock, I. Vurm, N. Roth, B. Grefenstette, V. Savchenko, R. Cartier, J. Steiner, G. Terrera, B. Margalit, G. Migliori, D. Milisavljevic, K. Alexander, M. Bietenholz, P. Blanchard, E. Bozzo, D. Brethauer, I. Chilingarian, L. Ducci, C. Ferrigno, W. Fong, D. Gotz, C. Guidorzi, A. Hajela, K. Hurley and more...

Multi-wavelength Studies of Fast Blue Optical Transients (FBOTs)

CENTER FOR INTERDISCIPLINARY EXPLORATION AND RESEARCH IN ASTROPHYSICS

18cow

Fast Blue Optical Transients (FBOTs) Alternatively: Fast Evolving Luminous Transients (FELTS)



What are they?

- SNe (or failed SNe) of massive stripped stars (e.g. Drout+ 2013, Tauris+ 2013, 2015, Kleiser & Kasen 2014, Kazumi & Quataert 2015, Suwa+ 2015...)
- Breakout of a SN shock from a dense wind or extended progenitor (e.g. Ofek+ 2010, Drout+ 2014, Pastorello+ 2015, Shivvers+ 2016, Arcavi+ 2017, Tanaka+ 2016, Rest+ 2018)
- Cooling envelope emission from radially extended red supergiants (e.g. Drout+ 2014, Tanaka+ 2016)
- Prolonged energy injection from:
 - Millisecond magnetar (e.g. Gao+ 2013, Yu+ 2013, Metzger & Piro 2014, Hotokezaka+ 2017)
 - Accreting neutron star (e.g. Margalit & Metzger 2016)
 - Accreting black hole (e.g. Kashiyama & Quataert 2015, Strubbe & Quataert 2009, Cenko+ 2012)
- Detonation of a helium shell on a white dwarf (e.g. Shen+ 2010, Perets+ 2010)
- Shockwave afterglows from GRBs (Cenko+ 2013, 2015, Stalder+ 2017; Bhalerao+ 2017)

The enigmatic supernova AT2018cow (aka "The Cow")



Image credit:Augenblicke, Getty Images/iStockphoto





What is the nature of the Cow?

Ni-powered

Perley+ 2018, Prentice+ 2018, Margutti+ 2018, Kuin+ 2018

Shock-breakout of a star

Perley+ 2018, Prentice+ 2018, Margutti+ 2018, Kuin+ 2018

Shock-breakout of a star with a larger effective radius

Perley+ 2018, Prentice+ 2018, Margutti+ 2018, Kuin+ 2018

Pure Interaction

Rivera Sandoval+ 2018, Fox+ 2019 Perley+ 2018, Prentice+ 2018, Margutti+ 2018, Kuin+ 2018, Ho+ 2018

Engine powered

Perley+ 2018, Prentice+ 2018, Kuin+ 2018, Margutti+ 2018, Ho+ 2018, Lyutikov & Toonen+ 2018 Fox+ 2019

Ruled out/disfavoured by these authors

Suggested or ruled plausible by these authors

Radio









Soft X-ray Spectrum (α~-0.5)

Transient hard X-ray component

Variability timescales

Soft X-ray Spectrum (α~-0.5)

No: Electrons are in the fast cooling regime, so $F \sim v^{-p/2}$

Transient hard X-ray component

Variability timescales

Soft X-ray Spectrum (α~-0.5)

No: Electrons are in the fast cooling regime, so $F \sim v^{-p/2}$

Transient hard X-ray component

Can't be produced by IC from a relativistic Maxwellian distribution of electrons as the spectral energy peak is a factor <100 times larger than expected

Variability timescales

Soft X-ray Spectrum (α~-0.5)

No: Electrons are in the fast cooling regime, so $F \sim v^{-p/2}$



Soft X-ray Spectrum (α~-0.5)

No: Electrons are in the fast cooling regime, so $F \sim v^{-p/2}$

Transient hard X-ray component

Can't be produced by IC from a relativistic Maxwellian distribution of electrons as the spectral energy peak is a factor <100 times larger than expected

Variability timescales

If the overdensity regions occupy a large fraction of the solid angle: Violate the light-crossing time if the ejecta cover a large fraction of the viewing angle (we need Δt/t>0.1-0.2c) AND No linear increase in duration

If we instead have a clumpy medium:

Duration and amplitude of flares are not consistent with density fluctuations in the CSM

Soft X-ray Spectrum (α~-0.5)

No: Electrons are in the fast cooling regime, so $F \sim v^{-p/2}$

Transient hard X-ray component

Can't be produced by IC from a relativistic Maxwellian distribution of electrons as the spectral energy peak is a factor <100 times larger than expected

Variability timescales

If the overdensity regions occupy a large fraction of the solid angle:

Violate the light-crossing time if the ejecta cover a large fraction of the viewing angle (we need $\Delta t/t > 0.1-0.2c$) AND No linear increase in duration

If we instead have a clumpy medium:

Duration and amplitude of flares are not consistent with density fluctuations in the CSM

Fast fall-off in X-rays

We would expect a fall off of $L_x \sim t^{-1}$ for a spherical blastwave and $L_x \sim t^{-2}$ for a jet

What is the nature of the Cow?

Ni-powered

Perley+ 2018, Prentice+ 2018, Margutti+ 2018

Shock-breakout of a star

Perley+ 2018, Prentice+ 2018, Margutti+ 2018

Shock-breakout of a star with a larger effective radius

Perley+ 2018, Prentice+ 2018, Margutti+ 2018

Pure Interaction

Rivera Sandoval+ 2018, Fox+ 2019 Perley+ 2018, Prentice+ 2018, Margutti+ 2018

Engine powered

Perley+ 2018, Prentice+ 2018, Margutti+ 2018, Ho+ 2018, Lyutikov & Toonen+ 2018 Fox+ 2019

Ruled out/disfavoured by these authors

Suggested or ruled plausible by these authors

Conclusion and Future work

First radio to gamma-ray study of an FBOT

X-ray properties (in particular) imply the presence of a central engine

Continued observations will probe the late-time x-ray emission, constrain the radio evolution and help to diagnose the central engine

Future multi-wavelength campaigns on FBOTs will uncover the physical nature of this diverse class of objects